Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	8/10/09

#### **Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	Procurement delays	Vendor selection still planned for mid September	SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November
3. Was work done that was not planned?	Yes	Multiple RFP addendums		
4. Were there any changes to scope?	Yes	Mapping of certain data shifted from CCTC to vendor.	See explanation in Look Ahead view item #4.	SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	Yes	Multiple RFP addendums		
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	Yes	Procurement Phase Delays	1 year, 8 month delay for project	SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
Are there any staffing problems?	Yes	CDE Technical Lead vacancy	Previous TL participated in Proposal Evaluations	CDE PMO review of candidate applications continues.

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	8/10/09

#### **Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for Procurement Completion	Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle
Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	Yes	Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones	Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	Yes	Risk Mitigation	Based on site visits conducted at Placer and Santa Clara Counties, the project has determined that the Assignment Monitoring (AM) process needs to be updated to account for the efficiencies expected with upcoming automation via CALPADS and CALTIDES. Whereas the AM process is not directly part of the CALTIDES project, CALTIDES is dependent on the accuracy of the Assignment Monitoring process. CDE is currently in the process of planning for the needed updates.

**CA-PMM** 

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	8/10/09

# **Status Report**

Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	Yes	At the FSR stage, it was intended that CCTC would perform the mapping of course code data maintained in CALPADS to CALTIDES credential and authorization data.  A better understanding of the complexity of this task during the RFP requirements stage caused the project team to both update the data to be mapped and shift to the CALTIDES vendor, the responsibility for developing the needed mapping.	Document in SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
6. Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	Yes	<ol> <li>A complexity with the cost proposal evaluations could cause a delay of approximately 3 weeks in the selection process.</li> <li>Minimum 1 year 8 month delay for all post procurement milestones.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The project team is currently working with DGS to better define the potential selection process delay.</li> <li>Reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle.</li> </ol>
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		

**CA-PMM** 

Revision Date: 8/10/09

Project Name: CALTIDES

OCIO Project #:
Department: CDE and CCTC

**Status Report** 

9. Are any staffing problems anticipated?	Yes	impacting the ability of the evaluation team to resolve the cost evaluation	The project is currently working with DGS to better define the potential selection process delay.
---	-----	---	---

Project Name: CALTIDES	
OCIO Project #:	01-1
Department: CDE and CCTC	Status Report
Revision Date: 8/10/09	

#### **Current Status and Accomplishments:**

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

**Procurement Phase Activities are 92% complete.** Cost proposals were opened on July 23, 2009. Cost Proposal Evaluation and Evaluation and Selection Report development are in progress.

Documentation of existing Business Process continues. Efforts are on track as planned.

Statewide Educator ID (SEID) Implementation is as previously reported - 86% complete. For the remaining 14%, half are due to needed conversion of lifetime credentials (expected completion date is 5/2010). The remaining 7% is due to districts that didn't report a SEID for various reasons. CDE and CTC currently researching and following up with LEAs/districts.

Lifetime Credential Conversion progress continues. Currently CTC is converting an average of 1,800 Credentials per month. There are approximately 10,000 records for which further information is needed. CTC is currently working with LEAs to resolve outstanding records associated with educator name changes. The CTC May 2010 projection for the completion of lifetime credential conversion remains

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval	5/12/06	10/12/06	Complete		5/12/06
Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals	2/5/07	3/2/10	In progress	Procurement phase delays	
Phase III: System Development and Implementation	3/3/10	1/6/12	Not started		

$\wedge$		V	11	١.
<b>LA</b>	ν=1	IV	П	V

Project Name: CAL	TIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department: CDE	and CCTC
Revision Date: 8/10	

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle.
Milestones			Х	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November.
Deliverables	X			
Resources	Х			
OneTime Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.
Continuing Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.

CA-	PI	VI	M
-----	----	----	---

<b>Project Name:</b>	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
Revision Date:	8/10/09

#### **Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee**

#### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.						
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed	
Phase I: FSR and ITPP Development and Approval	5/12/06	10/12/06	Complete		5/12/06	
Phase II: Contractor Procurements and Contract Approvals	2/5/07	3/2/10	In progress	Procurement phase delays		
Phase III: System Development and Implementation	3/3/10	1/6/12	Not started			

Variances					
	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required	
Schedule			X	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, reevaluation of implementation schedule to business cycle.	
Milestones			Х	Due to 1 year 8 month Procurement Phase delay, SPR will be developed for submittal in mid November.	
Deliverables	X				
Resources	X				
One Time Cost			Х	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.	
Continuing Cost			X	Revised costs based on Cost Proposals will be documented in the SPR that will be submitted in mid-November.	

$\sim v$	D	M	M
CA		IVI	IVI

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
<b>Revision Date:</b>	8/10/09

### **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	
1. Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	0
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	
	Strong Viability	0	
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	0
	Weak Viability	2	
	<5%	0	
Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	2
	>10%	2	
4. Cook to Date up Fatimated Cook to	<5%	0	
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-	5% to 10%	1	2
Date (higher)	>10%	2	
5 Ligh Drobobility Ligh Import	0 to 3	0	
<ol><li>High-Probability, High-Impact Risks</li></ol>	4 to 6	1	0
1/13/2	>6	2	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	0
	Late impacting the critical path	2	
	Fully engaged	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	0
	Inadequate engagement	2	

### **CA-PMM**

<b>Project Name:</b>	CALTIDES			
		<u>.</u>	·	-

OCIO Project #:

Department: CDE and CCTC

Revision Date: 8/10/09

# **Status Report**

		Total	6
	Ineffective	2	
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0
	Highly Effective	0	
(70 St Stieft that is evertaine)	>25%	2	
(% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	0
14. Overtime Utilization	<15%	0	
	<80% assigned and available	2	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0
	>90% assigned and available	0	
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate	80-90% on time	1	0
	>90% on time	0	
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2	_
11. Milestone Hit Rate	80-90% on time	1	2
	>90% on time	0	
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	0
10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	
	Weak	2	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0
	Strong	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Weak or no alignment	2	
	Partial alignment	1	0
	Strong alignment	0	

	Λ		R A	N/
L	H-	•	Μ	IV

Project Name:	CALTIDES
OCIO Project #:	
Department:	CDE and CCTC
Revision Date:	8/10/09

Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

#### **Vendor Viability Rating Rationale**

Rating based on review of technical proposals.